Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/900,388	TOMITSUKA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	CUONG H. NGUYEN	3661
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>CUONG H. NGUYEN (USPTO)</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Ms. ANASTASIA ZHADINA (Reg. no. 48,544)</u> . (4)		
Date of Interview: <u>30 October 2005</u> Time: <u>11:25 am</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: N/A		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
•		
cuonglinguyen		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner would like to remind applicants that USPTO receives no response for a Final Offica Action mailed on 4/19/05; therefore, this case is automatically in ABANDON status. Please call the examiner of the record (571-272-6759) if there is any further question.